## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

## **CHARLESTON DIVISION**

JAMES L. NICHOLAS,

Plaintiff,

v. CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:21-cv-00401

SPENCER STATE POLICE, et al.,

Defendants.

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On July 15, 2021, the Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, filed his *Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Costs* (Document 1) and his *Complaint* (Document 2). An Amended Complaint (Document 6) was filed on August 6, 2021.

By *Administrative Order* (Document 4) entered on July 16, 2021, this action was referred to the Honorable Omar J. Aboulhosn, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this Court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636.

On March 1, 2024, the Magistrate Judge submitted a *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* (Document 7) wherein it is recommended that the Plaintiff's *Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Costs* (Document 1) be denied, that the Plaintiff's Complaints (Documents 2 & 6) be dismissed, and that this matter be removed from the Court's

1

docket. Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Proposed Findings and Recommendation were due

by March 18, 2024<sup>1</sup>.

Neither party has timely filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and* 

Recommendation. The Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the

factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or

recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985).

Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and a party's right to appeal

this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th

Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS and incorporates herein the findings and

recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as contained in the Proposed Findings and

Recommendation, and **ORDERS** that the Plaintiff's Application to Proceed Without Prepayment

of Fees and Costs (Document 1) be **DENIED**, that the Plaintiff's Complaints (Documents 2 & 6)

be **DISMISSED**, and that this matter be **REMOVED** from the Court's docket.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a certified copy of this Order to Magistrate Judge

Aboulhosn, counsel of record, and any unrepresented party.

ENTER:

April 8, 2024

IRENE C. BERGER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

<sup>1</sup>The docket reflects that the *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* mailed to the Petitioner was returned as undeliverable on March 18, 2024, and re-mailed to a different address on that date. As of April 7, 2024, no objections had been filed.